## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PENDLETON DIVISION

ANTHONY MICHAEL DELAROSA,

No. 2:15-cv-02379-JR

Petitioner,

**ORDER** 

v.

JOHN MYRICK, Superintendent, Two Rivers Correctional Institution,

Respondent.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Russo issued a Findings and Recommendation [83] on September 9, 2019 in which she recommends that the Court deny the Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [31] and enter a judgment of dismissal. Petitioner filed timely objections to the Findings and Recommendation. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).

The Court has carefully considered Petitioner's objections and concludes that the objections do not provide a basis to modify the recommendation. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and finds no error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

## CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Russo's Findings and Recommendation [83]. Accordingly, the Court DENIES the Second Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus [31] and DISMISSES this case. However, the Court issues a Certificate of Appealability on the claim of ineffective assistance of counsel raised in Petitioner's third claim for relief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this day of , 2020.

United States District Judge